	Case 2:11-cv-00310-BAT Document	1 Filed 02/22/11	Page 1 of 9	
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE			
9	THE LONES GROUP, INC., a Washington	l EE		
10	corporation,	NO.		
11	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT		
12	V.			
13	DANIEL ROTHAMEL, an individual; and STRONG TEAM REALTORS, INC., a	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL		
14	Virginia corporation,			
15	Defendants.			
16		1		
17	THE LONES GROUP, INC. ("Lones" or "Plaintiff") hereby alleges for its			
18	complaint against DANIEL ROTHAMEL ("Rothamel") and STRONG TEAM			
19	REALTORS, INC. ("STR") (together, "Defendants"), upon personal information as to			
20	their own activities, and upon information and belief as to the activities of others, as			
21	follows:			
22	I. NATURE OF THIS ACTION			
23	1. Lones is a preeminent source of electronic publishing and weblog ("blog")			
24	services in the field of real estate. Lones is well known for its distinctive trade dress used			
25	in connection with these services (the "Lones Trade Dress"), which features an image of			
26	a zebra and zebra stripes.			
27	2. Lones is also well known for its family of trademarks, THE ZEBRA BLOG			
28	and THE ZEBRA REPORT (the "Lones Marks") used in connection with its electronic			
		EWMAN & NEWMAN, FORNEYS AT LAW, LLP	505 Fifth Ave. S., Ste. 610 Seattle, Washington 98104	

2

7

8 9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

3. Defendants offer blog services in the field of real estate. In connection with those services, Defendants use a confusingly similar design (the "Infringing Trade Dress") which copies several unique and distinctive elements of the Lones Trade Dress. Defendants also use an infringing mark, REAL ESTATE ZEBRA (the "Infringing Mark") to promote their services.

Plaintiff brings this action because it is suffering substantial harm as a 4. result of Defendants' infringement and Defendants' unfair business practices associated therewith. Defendants' conduct was and is calculated to cause injury to Plaintiff in the State of Washington.

II. THE PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff THE LONES GROUP, INC. is a Washington corporation with its principal place of business in Bellingham, Washington.
- 6. On information and belief, Defendant DANIEL ROTHAMEL is a resident of Palmyra, Virginia.
- 7. On information and belief, Defendant STRONG TEAM REALTORS, INC. is a Virginia corporation with its principal place of business in Palmyra, Virginia.
- 8. Each defendant aided and abetted the actions of the other defendant, in that both defendants had knowledge of those actions, provided assistance and benefitted from those actions. Each of the defendants was the agent of the other defendant, and in doing the things hereinafter alleged, was acting within the course and scope of such agency and with the permission and consent of the other defendant.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE III.

- 9. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).
- 10. This Court also has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332 because the parties are citizens of different states and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.

- 11. This Court has supplemental subject matter jurisdiction over the state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
- 12. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants, and each of them, pursuant to FED R. CIV. P. 4(k)(1)(A) because each defendant could be subjected to the jurisdiction of a court of general jurisdiction in the state in which this Court is located, and pursuant to RCW § 4.12.025 because the defendants, and each of them, have engaged in unfair competition having an effect in this state.
- 13. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims alleged herein occurred in this judicial district.

IV. FACTS

A. The Lones Marks and the Lones Trade Dress

- 14. For many years, Plaintiff has been known by consumers throughout the United States as the "real estate zebra company."
- 15. Plaintiff has published its real estate newsletter, "The Zebra Report", since 2005.
- 16. Plaintiff has provided blog services to consumers in the field of real estate since at least November 2007 in connection with the mark "The Zebra Blog", which is accessible at http://thelonesgroup.wordpress.com.
- 17. At least as early as 2005, Plaintiff began using the distinctive Lones Trade Dress, which features zebra stripes and other zebra images.
- 18. Plaintiff's family of Lones Marks both of which contain the dominant term ZEBRA —have acquired secondary meaning, as has the Lones Trade Dress.

 Consumers throughout the United States have long associated the Lones Marks and Lones Trade Dress with Plaintiff and its real estate-related services.

B. Defendants' Infringement

19. Subsequent to Lones's first use of THE ZEBRA REPORT mark and the Lones Trade Dress, Defendants registered the domain name <realestatezebra.com>.

28

- 20. Defendants are direct competitors of Plaintiff. On the website accessible via http://www.realestatezebra.com (the "Infringing Website"), Defendants offer competing blog services in the field of real estate, to the same types of consumers as does Plaintiff.
- 21. Defendants use the Infringing Mark, REAL ESTATE ZEBRA, in connection with their competing services.
- 22. Defendants offer their services to U.S. residents, including but not limited to residents of the State of Washington.
- 23. Defendants have infringed the Lones Marks by offering services to consumers under the Infringing Mark, which is confusingly similar to the Lones Marks.
- 24. Defendants have infringed the Lones Trade Dress by offering services to consumers under the Infringing Trade Dress, which is confusingly similar to the Lones Trade Dress.
- 25. Defendants' use of the Infringing Mark is likely to cause, and actually causes, confusion among consumers regarding the source and quality of Plaintiff's services. Because consumers associate the Lones Marks with Plaintiff, Defendants' use of the Infringing Mark causes consumers to believe that Plaintiff is the source of real estate services offered by Defendants. Accordingly, Defendants are unfairly diverting business away from Plaintiff.
- 26. Defendants' use of the Infringing Trade Dress copies unique and distinctive elements of the Lones Trade Dress. By way of example and without limitation, the Infringing Trade Dress, used in connection with Defendants' competing services, features zebra stripes and the eye of a zebra gazing out at the viewer. Defendants' use of the Infringing Trade Dress in connection with the Infringing Mark causes additional consumer confusion.
- 27. Defendants' acts alleged herein have been willful and have been taken with full knowledge of Plaintiff's rights and with the intent to appropriate benefits to which Defendants are not entitled.

- 28. Defendants' unlawful actions have caused and continue to cause irreparable injury to Plaintiff, and if those actions are not stopped the harm to Plaintiff will increase.
 - 29. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law.

V. FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION TRADEMARK AND TRADE DRESS INFRINGEMENT 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a)

- 30. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 29 above as though fully set forth herein.
- 31. Defendants' use of the Infringing Mark and Infringing Trade Dress is misleading to consumers, and is likely to cause confusion or mistake, or to deceive as to i) the affiliation, connection, or association of Defendants with Plaintiff and/or ii) the origin, sponsorship, or approval of Defendants' blog services.
 - 32. Defendants' conduct constitutes unfair competition.
- 33. As a result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff has suffered harm to its reputation and goodwill, and unless Defendant is restrained and enjoined, Plaintiff will continue to suffer harm from Defendants' conduct.

VI. SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION COMMON LAW UNFAIR COMPETITION

- 34. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 33 above as though fully set forth herein.
- 35. Defendants engaged in the use of the Infringing Mark and Infringing Trade Dress for the purpose of deceiving the public.
 - 36. Defendants are Plaintiff's business rivals.
- 37. Defendants' use of the Infringing Mark and Infringing Trade Dress has improperly induced the consumption of Defendants' services, thereby conferring on Defendants benefits that properly belong to Plaintiff.
- 38. Defendants have, through their unfair competitive practices, induced the public to believe that the services Defendants offer are the services of Lones.

28

- 39. Consequently, Defendants have thereby appropriated to themselves the value of the reputation that Lones acquired for its own services.
- 40. Defendants' conduct as alleged herein constitutes unfair competition against Plaintiff.
- 41. As a result of Defendants' unfair competition, Plaintiff has suffered, and unless said conduct is restrained and enjoined will continue to suffer, harm to its business, including loss of revenue, customers, and goodwill.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION VIOLATION OF WASHINGTON CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT RCW § 19.86.010

- 42. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 41 above as though fully set forth herein.
 - 43. Defendants have committed unfair and deceptive acts as set forth above.
- 44. Defendants have used the Infringing Mark and Infringing Trade Dress to divert Plaintiff's customers unfairly from Plaintiff to Defendants, and for the purpose of defrauding consumers into believing that Defendants' services are really Plaintiff's services.
- 45. Defendants have misappropriated, and continue to misappropriate, Plaintiff's customers via the unfair use of the Infringing Mark and Infringing Trade Dress.
 - 46. Consumers are deceived by Defendants' acts.
- 47. Defendants' unfair and deceptive acts occurred in the conduct of trade or commerce.
 - 48. Defendants' unfair and deceptive acts have an impact on the public interest.
- 49. Defendants' unfair and deceptive acts caused harm, including monetary injuries, to Plaintiff.

3

5

8

9

VIII. RELIEF REQUESTED

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff THE LONES GROUP prays for the following relief:

- 1. <u>Injunction</u>. That, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1116 and RCW § 19.86.090, the Court issue a preliminary and permanent injunction restraining and enjoining Defendants, and their officers, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, parents, subsidiaries and related entities and all persons acting for, with, by, through or under them, and each of them, from:
 - a. using in any manner the trademarks THE ZEBRA BLOG or THE ZEBRA REPORT, and any other term or terms likely to cause confusion therewith, including specifically REAL ESTATE ZEBRA;
 - b. using in any manner the trademarks THE ZEBRA BLOG or THE ZEBRA REPORT, and any other term or terms likely to cause confusion therewith, including specifically REAL ESTATE ZEBRA, in a manner likely to create the erroneous belief that Defendants' services are authorized by, sponsored by, licensed by or in any way associated with Plaintiff;
 - using in any manner the Infringing Trade Dress, and any similar trade dress, in a manner likely to create the erroneous belief that Defendants' services are authorized by, sponsored by, licensed by or in any way associated with Plaintiff; and
 - d. otherwise engaging in any other acts or conduct that would cause consumers erroneously to believe that Defendants' services are somehow sponsored by, authorized by, licensing by, or in any way associated with Plaintiff.
- 2. <u>Compensatory Damages in Amount of Plaintiff's Loss</u>. That Defendants be required to pay to Plaintiff all damages sustained by Plaintiff.
 - 3. Compensatory Damages in Amount of Defendants' Gain. That Defendants

1	be required to account for and pay to Plaintiff all monetary benefits obtained by reason of		
2	Defendants' unlawful acts alleged herein.		
3	4. <u>Punitive Damages</u> . That Defendants be required to pay punitive damages.		
4	5. <u>Compliance Report</u> . That the Court require Defendant to file with the		
5	Court and serve upon Plaintiff's counsel within thirty (30) days after entry of judgment a		
6	report in writing under oath setting forth in detail the manner in which Defendants have		
7	complied with the requirements of the Court's judgment and corresponding order		
8	6. <u>Attorneys' Fees and Costs</u> . That the Court award Plaintiff its reasonable		
9	attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117(a) and RCW § 19.86.090.		
10	7. <u>Treble Damages</u> . That the Court award Plaintiff three times the amount of		
11	its actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117(a) and RCW § 19.86.090.		
12	8. Other Equitable Relief. That Plaintiff receive such other and further relief		
13	as the Court may deem appropriate.		
14			
15	DATED this 22nd day of February, 2011.		
16	NICHYD GANL O. NICHYD GANL		
17	NEWMAN & NEWMAN, ATTORNEYS AT LAW, LLP		
18	D		
19 20	Randall Moeller, WSBA No. 21094		
21	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
22	THE LÓNES GROUP, INC.		
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	COMPLAINT - 8 NEWMAN & NEWMAN, 505 Fifth Ave. S., Ste. 61 Seattle, Washington 9810		

JURY DEMAND Pursuant to FED. R. CIV. P. 38(b), Plaintiff THE LONES GROUP demands a trial by jury of all issues presented in this complaint which are triable by jury. DATED this 22nd day of February, 2011. NEWMAN & NEWMAN, ATTORNEYS AT LAW, LLP By: Derek Newman, WSBA No. 26967 Randall Moeller, WSBA No. 21094 Charlotte Williams, WSBA No. 42864 Attorneys for Plaintiff THE LONES GROUP, INC.